

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)			
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1010326 DA	TE: <u>6/27/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:26</u>	DEPART: <u>3:21</u>			
FACILITY NAME: RIN	NKER MATERIALS					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 14005 State Road 54					
	ODESSA 33556					
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL: JEFFREY PORTER	РНО	DNE: (561)820-8415			
CONTACT NAME: Philip Novomestky		РНО	ONE:			
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITL	EMENT PERIOD: 9/18/2				
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u>	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck 🗹 only one box)				
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Stack Emissions 1 Were visible emis	sions tests conducted during this	site visit according to FPA	Method 9 (Ref · Chanter			
62-297, F.A.C.)?-	1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?					
controlled to the e	2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No					
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,						
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?						
	"Yes", then continue on to quest and continue on to question 5.)			Yes ⊠ No		
	ing operation in operation during ible emissions test, was the batch			Yes No		
duration?				Yes No		
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No						

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	to □Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.)	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable 	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
TI (* 15 ') (D.1 (2.20(.220(4)(.) F.4.C.)						
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)						
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined						
emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:						
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock						
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-supp						
emissions?						
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pay	red areas under control of the owner/operator	r to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to red						
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind b						
particulate matter from stock piles?						
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate en	⊠Yes □ No					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rul	e 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	02 210.500(1)(4) 1., 1					
======================================						
Since the last inspection has there been						
a) installation of any new process equipment?	□Yes ⊠ No					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?						
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most						
recent notification form?						
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete						
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or						
local program office?		□Yes □ No				
Neal B. Janis	6/27/07					
Neai D. Janis	0/27/07					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_				
	1 year					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_				
COMMENTS: approx. 207,182 low sulfer diesel, 212,000 TPY material through plant						